

PERFORMANCE MEASURES	GOAL	BASELINE	SELF ASSESSMENT 2	SELF ASSESSMENT 3	SELF ASSESSMENT 4	NOTES	PROCEDURE FOR COLLECTING MEASURE DATA	DUE DATE	RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	
Project Development Process Activities/Section 4(f)/Environmental Justice										
1	Number of C1 through D1 level CEs approved	n/a	n/a	1258	1339	1411		Environet Query	05/15/18	Jacque Annarino
2	Number of D2 and D3 level CEs approved	n/a	n/a	8	32	6		Environet Query	05/15/18	Jacque Annarino
3	Number of EAs approved	n/a	n/a	0	1	7		Environet Query (Need to create a query at a later date)	05/15/18	Jacque Annarino
4	Number of EISs approved	n/a	n/a	0	0	3		Environet Query (Need to create a query at a later date)	05/15/18	Jacque Annarino
5	Percent of approved projects found in compliance with OES' QC/QA Guidance peer-review requirements	95%	n/a	98%	99%	96%		Self-Assessment and prior year Audit results	05/30/18	Jacque Annarino
6	Average number of days to process EA/EIS from Begin Environmental Studies (NEPA Start Date or Date of Initiation of Field Study) to Public Hearing	FHWA Approved Pre vs Post	1926	n/a	720	885	Only one project (PID 100824 STA-US 62-24.05)	Pulled baseline dates from the environmental documents (hard files). For now, data will be gathered by NEPA Assignment Coordinator by querying EA/EIS projects and looking up the dates for each in the environmental document. If public hearing date is unavailable the date shown in Environet as the date the EA/EIS was made available to the public will be used. Future, will enhance EnviroNet by adding Public Hearing Date so we can query.	05/15/18	Kevin Davis by 04/30 then handoff to Jacque Annarino
7	Average number of days to process EA from Public Hearing to Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)	FHWA Approved Pre vs Post	127	n/a	92	186	Only one project (PID 100824 STA-US 62-24.05)	Pulled baseline dates from the environmental documents (hard files). For now, data will be gathered by NEPA Assignment Coordinator by querying EA/EIS projects and looking up the dates for each in the environmental document. If public hearing date is unavailable the date shown in Environet as the date the EA/EIS was made available to the public will be used. Future, will enhance EnviroNet by adding Public Hearing Date so we can query.	05/15/18	Kevin Davis by 04/30 then handoff to Jacque Annarino
8	Average number of days to process EIS from Date of DRAFT Environmental Impact Statement Release to Record of Decision (ROD)	FHWA Approved Pre vs Post	315	n/a	n/a	n/a		Pulled baseline dates from the environmental documents (hard files). For now, data will be gathered by NEPA Assignment Coordinator by querying EA/EIS projects and looking up the dates for each in the environmental document. If public hearing date is unavailable the date shown in Environet as the date the EA/EIS was made available to the public will be used. Future, will enhance EnviroNet by adding Public Hearing Date so we can query.	05/15/18	Kevin Davis by 04/30 then handoff to Jacque Annarino
9	Average number of days to process FEIS/ROD from Date of Public Hearing to Record of Decision (ROD)	FHWA Approved Pre vs Post	283	n/a	n/a	n/a		Pulled baseline dates from the environmental documents (hard files). For now, data will be gathered by NEPA Assignment Coordinator by querying EA/EIS projects and looking up the dates for each in the environmental document. If public hearing date is unavailable the date shown in Environet as the date the EA/EIS was made available to the public will be used. Future, will enhance EnviroNet by adding Public Hearing Date so we can query.	05/15/18	Kevin Davis by 04/30 then handoff to Jacque Annarino
10	Average number of hours to process C1 level CE	TBD			11	5		Ran EIMS Query (Ellis) for PIDs approved during audit period to obtain data regarding how much time was spent on environmental activities per PID	05/15/18	Jacque Annarino
11	Average number of hours to process C2 level CE	TBD			20	20		Ran EIMS Query (Ellis) for PIDs approved during audit period to obtain data regarding how much time was spent on environmental activities per PID	05/15/18	Jacque Annarino
12	Average number of hours to process D Level CE	TBD			141	129		Ran EIMS Query (Ellis) for PIDs approved during audit period to obtain data regarding how much time was spent on environmental activities per PID	05/15/18	Jacque Annarino
13	Number of Section 4(f) de minimis determinations	n/a	n/a	16	24	16	5 CR, 11 Rec	Environet Query	05/15/18	Kevin Davis
14	Number of Section 4(f) programmatic evaluation determinations	n/a	n/a	1	2	1	1 CR	Environet Query (Historic Bridge, Net Benefit & Independent Bikeway & Walkway only)	05/15/18	Kevin Davis
15	Number of Section 4(f) individual determinations	n/a	n/a	0	2	0		Environet Query	05/15/18	Kevin Davis
16a	Average number of days to process Section 4(f) no use determinations from date submitted to OES to determination date - Section 106	n/a	15	11	11	7		Data provided by CR Staff	05/15/18	Erica Schneider
16b	Average number of days to process Section 4(f) no use determinations from date submitted to OES to determination date - Recreational	n/a	30	40	21	26	CR - average of 7 days, Rec - Average of 26 days	Data provided by Policy Staff (Includes all the Exceptions)	05/15/18	Kevin Davis
17	Average number of days to process Section 4(f) de minimis determinations from date submitted to OES to determination date	FHWA Approved Pre vs Post	92	75	30	14	CR - average of 2 days, Rec - Average of 20 days	Baseline: Included both Policy and CR coordination - 5 yrs prior to NA. Baseline: Assumed 30 day OES review + 15 day OES backcheck + 30 day edit/correction period + 17 Days FHWA review based on Actual Data Query = 92 days total. Current: Policy - Environet Query; Cultural Resources - Widgets.	05/15/18	Kevin Davis & Susan Gasbarro
18	Average number of days to process Section 4(f) programmatic evaluation determinations from date submitted to OES to determination date	FHWA Approved Pre vs Post	76	29	67.5	n/a	Only one project: PID 99991 OTT-51 Elmore - 299 days. It took this long to get to an executed Section 106 MOA regarding the Adverse Effect to the bridge.	Baseline: We initially included both Policy and CR coordination - 5 yrs prior to NA but we feel the baseline data is highly suspect and intend to reserach this data further in order to obtain better data. We will conduct more research and add 2 more net benefit projects (GAL Farm Road & FRA-270 Olentangy River Road) + all of Tom's projects. Current: Policy - Environet Query; Cultural Resources - Widgets.	05/15/18	Kevin Davis & Susan Gasbarro
19	Average number of days to process Section 4(f) individual determinations from date the individual evaluation submitted to OES to approval date	FHWA Approved Pre vs Post	286	n/a	89	n/a		Baseline: Brent Spence from time OES sent SHPO notification of Adverse Effect to 4(f) approval. Current: Widgets	05/15/18	Kevin Davis & Susan Gasbarro

PERFORMANCE MEASURES	GOAL	BASELINE	SELF ASSESSMENT 2	SELF ASSESSMENT 3	SELF ASSESSMENT 4	NOTES	PROCEDURE FOR COLLECTING MEASURE DATA	DUE DATE	RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL
20 Number of projects with disproportionately high and adverse effects to EJ populations	0	1	0	0	0		Query projects during review period that answered YES to questions regarding high and adverse effects upon EJ populations and generate EJAR needed. Projects reviewed during Self-Assessment to see if there were any DHAEs (See "EJ Projects" tab).	05/15/18	Kevin Davis by 04/30 then handoff to Jacque Annarino
Ecological/Wetland									
21 Average Number of days to submit Biological Assessment for Endangered Species	Pre vs Post	26	<1	n/a	n/a		Baseline: Data provided by Eco Staff (See Eco Consultation tab in "NEPA Processing Times" Excel file). Current: Ecological Widget. Future: Environet Query	05/15/18	Matt Raymond
22 Number of acres restored with pollinator habitat (includes native species)	50 acres annually	n/a	177.25 acres	114 acres	800 acres		Ecological Widget	05/15/18	Joel Hunt
23 Total dollar amount spent (for all PIDs) on Endangered Species Act	n/a	n/a	\$6,800,000	\$3,572,000	\$7,485,000		Information provided by Ecological Unit Supervisor	05/15/18	Matt Raymond
24 Total dollar amount spent for project related wetland mitigation	n/a	n/a	\$202,050	\$384,912	\$179,800		Information provided by Waterway Permits Unit Supervisor (widget)	05/15/18	Adrienne Earley
25 Total number of wetland acres purchased, acquired, or constructed for project related wetland mitigation	No Net Loss	n/a	5.176	5.2	6.664		Information provided by Waterway Permits Unit Supervisor	05/15/18	Adrienne Earley
26 Total number of wetland acres impacted	n/a	n/a	9.827	8	6.849		Environet Query	05/15/18	Kevin Davis
27 Number of agency-reported Clean Water Act (Section 401 and 404) violations	< 4 annually	n/a	0	0	1	We recognize that this goal should be "0" but feel that "<4" is a more realistic and achievable goal.	Information provided by Waterway Permits Unit Supervisor (widget). Future: Environet Query	05/15/18	Adrienne Earley
28 Number of agency-reported Clean Water Act (Section 401 and 404) non-compliance issues identified	< 10 annually	n/a	9	3	3		Information provided by Waterway Permits Unit Supervisor (widget). Future: Environet Query	05/15/18	Adrienne Earley
Cultural Resources									
29 Number of Section 106 Adverse Effects (Number of Adverse Effect Determinations issued by SHPO during audit period?)	n/a	n/a	1	4	0		Environet Query	05/15/18	Kevin Davis
30 Average Number of Days to process Section 106 Adverse Effects from formal Submittal to final signed MOA	Pre vs Post	88	81	280	n/a		Baseline: Archaeology & History/Architecture Team Leads. We feel the baseline data is highly suspect and intend to reserach this data further in order to obtain better data. Future: Possible Environet Query. Query projects with adverse effects and get information from project file regarding date effect determination letter submitted to SHPO and date MOA last signed.	05/15/18	Kevin Davis by 04/30 then handoff to Jacque Annarino
31 Number of archaeological sites identified by ODOT	n/a	n/a	22	26	74		Information provided by Archaeology Team Lead	05/15/18	Stan Baker
32 Number of properties determined eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places	n/a	n/a	30	7	12	See "NRHP Eligible Properties" Tab	Information provided by Archaeology & History/Architecture Team Leads	05/15/18	Stan Baker, Susan Gasbarro, and Tom Barrett
Agency Funding Agreements, Interagency Cooperation									
33 Bi-Annual Update and renewal of Funded Position Agreements & Escalation Procedures (ODNR, OEPA, OHC, USACE, USFWS)	100%	n/a	100%	n/a	100%		Information provided by OES Office Manager	05/15/18	Lauren Scarberry
34 Partering meeting and survey conducted (comments/scores) with partner agencies (ODNR, OEPA, OHC, USACE, USFWS)	100%	n/a	100%	100%	100%		Information provided by OES Office Manager	05/15/18	Lauren Scarberry
Legal Sufficiency Determinations									
35 Number of environmental lawsuits filed against ODOT	Pre vs Post	n/a	0	0	0	Prior to NEPA Assignment would be approximately 1 every 5 years	Information provided by Environmental Attorney	05/15/18	Ross Irvine
36 Number of EA/EIS determinations	n/a	n/a	0	1	1		Information provided by Environmental Attorney	05/15/18	Ross Irvine
37 Number of FONSI/ROD determinations	n/a	n/a	0	1	1		Information provided by Environmental Attorney	05/15/18	Ross Irvine
38 Number of Individual Section 4(f) determinations	n/a	n/a	0	2	0		Information provided by Environmental Attorney	05/15/18	Ross Irvine
39 Number of all other determinations (SOL, NOI, etc.) submitted to the Federal Register	n/a	n/a	1	1	0		Information provided by Environmental Attorney	05/15/18	Ross Irvine
Compliance with ODOT's Environmental Document Content Standards and Procedures									
40 Percent of reviewed NEPA documents that comply with OES' Signature Authority Requirements	100%	n/a	Unknown	100%	100%		Self-Assessment and prior year Audit results	05/30/18	Jacque Annarino
41 Number of reviewed projects that are in compliance with OES' NEPA Assignment Language Requirements	95%	n/a	86%	89%	100%		Self-Assessment and prior year Audit results	05/30/18	Jacque Annarino
Compliance with NEPA Assignment MOU									
42 Self-Assessment conducted of project approvals, environmental analysis, and project file documentation for errors and omissions	1	n/a	1	1	1		Self-Assessment and prior year Audit results	05/30/18	Jacque Annarino
43 Number of times escalation procedures were utilized with partner agencies	n/a	n/a	0	0	0		Information provided by Assistant Environmental Administrators	05/15/18	Matt Perlik & Erica Schneider
44 Percent of OES and District Environmental Staff up to date with training requirements as outlined in the Training Plan	95%	n/a	99%	94%	92%		Information provided by OES Office Manager	05/15/18	Lauren Scarberry